

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|------------------------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 10/706,872 | 11/12/2003 | LeNoir E. Zaiser | 2173.2005-001 | 6736 |
| 21005 | 7590 09/07/2005 | | EXAM | INER |
| HAMILTON, BROOK, SMITH & REYNOLDS, P.C. | | | PATEL, NIHIR B | |
| | 530 VIRGINIA ROAD P.O. BOX 9133 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| CONCORD, | CONCORD, MA 01742-9133 | | | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 09/07/2005 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | |
|--|---|--|--|--|--|
| Office Action Summany | 10/706,872 | ZAISER ET AL. | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | |
| | Nihir Patel | 3743 | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply | pears on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence address | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE | nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | |
| Status | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on July | 1 st , 2005. | | | | |
| 2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ This | This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final. | | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is | | | | | |
| closed in accordance with the practice under be | Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45 | 53 O.G. 213. | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the application. | | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>2,5-10,12 and 15-20</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1, 3, 4, 11, 13 and 14</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to | | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o | r election requirement. | | | | |
| Application Denous | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine | | | | | |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct | | | | | |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document copies of the certified copies of the priority document copies of the certified copies of the priority document copies of the certified copies of the priority document copies of the certified copies of the priority document copies of the certified copies of the priority document copies of the certified copies of the priority document copies of the certified copies of the priority document copies of the certified copies of the priority document copies of the certified copies of the priority document copies of the certified copies of the priority document copies of the certified copies of the priority document copies of the certified copies of the priority document copies of the certified copies of the priority document copies of the certified copies of | s have been received. Is have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive | on No | | | |
| application from the International Burea | | a d | | | |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | | |
|) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) | 4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Do 5) Notice of Informal F | | | | |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date | 6) Other: | | | | |

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

In response to applicant's argument that "adjustment system for controlling the amount of time required for the gas to sufficiently fill the timing gas chamber to control the length of time that the delivery valve assembly is opened" and "a volume adjustment device for adjusting the volume of the timing gas chamber", a recitation of the intended use of the claimed invention must result in a structural difference between the claimed invention and the prior art in order to patentably distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art. If the prior art structure is capable of performing the intended use, then it meets the claim. In a claim drawn to a process of making, the intended use must result in a manipulative difference as compared to the prior art. See *In re Casey*, 370 F.2d 576, 152 USPQ 235 (CCPA 1967) and *In re Otto*, 312 F.2d 937, 939, 136 USPQ 458, 459 (CCPA 1963).

In conclusion claims 1, 3, 4, 11, 13 and 14 are still rejected under 35 U.S.C. section 102(b) as stated in the previous office action dating back to January 25th, 2005

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37

Application/Control Number: 10/706,872 Page 3

Art Unit: 3743

CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communication from the examiner should be directed to Nihir Patel whose telephone number is (571) 272-4803. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 7:30 am to 4:30 pm. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful the examiner supervisor Henry Bennett can be reached at (571) 272 4791.

NP August 24th, 2005

upervisory materit Examiner